MAINS MATRIX

TABLE OF CONTENT

- 1. "Judiciary cannot tie President, Governor to timelines, says SC."
- 2. India's Fisheries and Aquaculture: Its Promising Course
- 3. 'Over 50% cases pending in Juvenile Justice Boards amid staff shortage'
- 4. Is Federalism in Retreat under Single-Party Hegemony?
- 5. Caste identity influences how teachers judge students in Bihar public schools: IIM-B study

"Judiciary cannot tie President, Governor to timelines, says SC."

Context

- A five-judge Constitution Bench delivered an advisory opinion on the 16th Presidential
 Reference.
- Issue: Whether courts can impose timelines on Governors/President regarding assent to State Bills.
- Triggered by: Tamil Nadu
 Governor's delayed action &
 earlier SC judgment (April 2024)
 setting a three-month limit.

Core Supreme Court Findings

A. Limits of Judicial Power

- Courts cannot impose strict timelines for Governors/President to assent or refuse assent to Bills.
- Courts cannot create the doctrine of "deemed assent" through judicial orders.

 Doing so is "antithetical to the Constitution" & violates separation of powers.

B Governor's Powers under Article 200

Governor has 3 options:

- 1. Assent to Bill.
- 2. **Reserve Bill** for President's consideration.
- Withhold assent and return Bill with comments (if not a Money Bill).

Cannot:

- Withhold a Bill without returning
 it to the State legislature with
 reasons (except Money Bill).
- Sit indefinitely without communication.

C. President's Role (Article 201 & 143)

- When a Bill is reserved, President acts on aid and advice of Union Council of Ministers.
- President need not seek SC's advisory opinion each time;

discretion lies with Union government.

D. Judicial Review Scope

- SC cannot review the merits of the Governor's assent decisions.
- 2. But SC can issue limited mandamus if the Governor engages in:
 - Prolonged, unexplained, indefinite delay, or
 - Evasive inaction.

This ensures **constitutional accountability** without violating separation of powers.

Key Judicial Observations

A. On Separation of Powers

- "Imposing timelines is a onesize-fits-all approach that distorts constitutional design."
- Judiciary cannot usurp the roles of constitutional authorities.

B. On Governor's Accountability

- Governors cannot indefinitely sit on a Bill; they must act with reasonable dispatch.
- However, they enjoy personal immunity under Article 361.

C. On Legislative Process

- People's will is expressed through the legislature.
- A Bill becomes law only after assent by President or Governor.
- Judiciary cannot adjudicate the contents of a Bill before assent.

Supreme Court's Advisory Opinion Table (Extracted)

Governor's Discretion (Article 200)

- May assent
- May reserve
- May return (if not a Money Bill)
- Must communicate reasons

Judicial Limits

- SC cannot impose timelines
- SC cannot create "deemed assent"

President's Role (Article 201)

- President acts on Union Cabinet advice
- No need for routine SC consultation

Governor's Accountability

- Cannot indefinitely delay
- Courts can direct "reasonable time" action
- Limited judicial review allowed

Why States Objected

- Non-BJP States (TN, Kerala) argued that the Presidential Reference was:
 - o An "appeal in disguise"
 - Violating finality of SC's April 2024 judgment.

SC rejected this objection.

7. Supreme Court's Conclusion

- No binding timelines for President/Governor.
- No deemed assent.
- But no prolonged, evasive inaction allowed.
- Judiciary can intervene only to ensure constitutional functioning, not to direct how the discretion is exercised.

HOW TO USE IT

This Supreme Court advisory opinion represents a delicate **constitutional balance**. It firmly upholds the **separation of powers** by refusing to micromanage the Governor's office with judicial timelines, while simultaneously reinforcing **constitutional morality** by prohibiting the Governor from indulging in "prolonged, unexplained, indefinite delay." It is a nuanced judgment that prioritizes constitutional principles over procedural rigidity.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper II (Polity, Constitution, Governance)

- 1. Indian Constitution—historical underpinnings, evolution, features, amendments, significant provisions and basic structure.
 - How to use: Analyze the judgment's interpretation of core constitutional provisions.
 - Key Points:
 - Articles 200 & 201: The judgment provides the definitive contemporary interpretation of these articles. It clarifies that the Governor's discretion is not absolute but is bounded by constitutional propriety. The three options (assent, reserve, return) are exhaustive.
 - Powers: This is the central doctrine invoked. The Court reasoned that imposing strict timelines would be a judicial overreach into the executive domain of the Governor and the legislative process of the State.
 - Basic Structure: The
 Court's role is to ensure
 that no constitutional
 authority, including the
 Governor, acts in a
 manner that violates
 the basic structure of the
 Constitution, which

includes federalism and democratic governance.

- 2. Functions and responsibilities of the Union and the States, issues and challenges pertaining to the federal structure, devolution of powers and finances up to local levels and challenges therein.
 - How to use: This is the core of the federalism debate ignited by gubernatorial inaction.
 - Key Points:
 - Federal Tension: The
 entire reference was
 triggered by actions of
 Governors in non-BJP
 ruled states (TN, Kerala),
 highlighting
 the politicization of the
 Governor's office and its
 use as a tool to obstruct
 state legislatures.
 - Safeguarding State
 Autonomy: By explicitly stating that a
 Governor cannot "sit indefinitely" and must act with "reasonable dispatch," the Supreme Court has provided a constitutional weapon to states against obstructive Governors.
 This reinforces the federal balance.
 - Limited Judicial Review as a Safeguard: The Court's assertion that it

can issue a mandamusin cases of prolonged delay acts as a crucial check and balance, ensuring that the Union-appointed Governor does not undermine the elected state government.

- 3. Separation of powers between various organs dispute redressal mechanisms and institutions.
 - How to use: This judgment is a masterclass in the separation of powers.
 - Key Points:
 - Judicial SelfRestraint: The Court
 demonstrated restraint by
 refusing to impose
 timelines or create a
 "deemed assent"
 doctrine, acknowledging
 that these are executivelegislative functions.
 - Defining Boundaries: It clearly demarcates the boundary of judicial intervention: courts cannot review the *merits* of the assent decision, but they can ensure that the *process* is not vitiated by malafide or indefinite delay.
- 4. Structure, organization and functioning of the Executive and the Judiciary.

 How to use: Understand the practical functioning of the offices of the President and Governor.

Key Points:

- Role of the President
 (Article 74): The judgment reaffirms that the
 President (and by extension, the Governor in most cases) acts on the aid and advice of the Council of Ministers.
- Constitutional Morality
 vs. Immunity: While the
 Governor enjoys personal
 immunity under Article
 361, the judgment
 emphasizes that this does
 not grant a license for
 constitutional
 impropriety. The office
 must be exercised
 with constitutional
 morality.

India's Fisheries and Aquaculture: Its Promising Course

1. Introduction

Fisheries and aquaculture have emerged as one of India's fastest-growing food-producing sectors, contributing significantly to livelihoods, nutritional security, exports, and rural development. Backed by technological innovations and supportive institutional

frameworks, the sector shows strong potential to drive India's Blue Economy.

2. Significance of the Sector

- **Livelihoods:** Supports over 2.8 crore fishers and fish farmers.
- Food Security: Provides
 affordable animal protein and
 micronutrient-rich diets.
- Economic Contribution: Major component of agricultural GDP and foreign exchange through marine exports.
- Regional Development: Critical for coastal, riverine, and inland communities.

3. Key Challenges

A. Environmental Strains

- Overfishing and stress on marine stocks.
- Habitat destruction of lakes, mangroves, estuaries.
- Water pollution from industrial effluents and agricultural runoff.
- Climate change-induced warming and extreme weather events.

B. Socio-Economic Issues

 Small-scale fishers lack credit access, insurance, modern gear.

- Market asymmetries and weak bargaining power.
- Gendered vulnerabilities in postharvest activities.

C. Supply Chain Limitations

- Poor cold chain infrastructure and post-harvest losses.
- Inadequate traceability affecting export competitiveness.
- Unsafe handling practices compromising food quality.

4. Current Status and Growth Global Context (FAO, 2024)

- Capture fisheries: 23 million tonnes (2022).
- Aquaculture: 130.9 million tonnes (record) worth USD 313 billion.

India's Progress

- Total aquatic animal production: 10.23 million tonnes.
- Rank: World's second-largest aquaculture producer.
- Production expanded from 2.44 million tonnes (1980s) to 17.54 million tonnes (2022–23).
- Aquaculture remains the key growth driver, reflecting sectoral modernization and inland expansion.

5. Institutional Support & Government Initiatives

A. Major Bodies

- ICAR Fisheries Institutes
- MPEDA
- National Fisheries
 Development Board (NFDB)
- Coastal Aquaculture Authority

B. Flagship Schemes

- Blue Revolution
- Pradhan Mantri Matsya
 Sampada Yojana (PMMSY)
 - Infrastructure modernisation
 - Fisher welfare, safety, and insurance
 - Aquapark and cold chain creation

C. Key Reforms

- Vessel transporters for safety at sea.
- Kisan Credit Card inclusion for fishers.
- Matsya Seva Kendras for lastmile service delivery.
- Climate-Resilient Coastal Fishermen Villages Programme.
- Draft National Fisheries Policy
 2020 promoting sustainability
 and value chain efficiency.

6. FAO's Role & Collaboration in India

A. Bay of Bengal Programme (BOBP)

 Improved small-scale fishing technologies, safety, and postharvest handling.

B. BOBLME Project

- Promoted Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management (EAFM).
- Supported action plans against IUU fishing.

C. GEF-funded Andhra Pradesh Project

- Applying Guidelines for Sustainable Aquaculture (GSA).
- Ecosystem Approach to Aquaculture (EAA).
- Climate-resilient aquaculture practices.

D. Technical Cooperation Programme (TCP)

- Upgrading port management in Vanakkara and Jakhau (Gujarat).
- Focus on capacity-building, hygiene, and sustainability.

7. Path Forward: Ensuring Sustainability and Competitiveness

A. Sustainability Imperatives

Science-based stock
 assessment and fishing effort
 regulation.

- Strengthened Monitoring,
 Control & Surveillance (MCS) to curb IUU fishing.
- Adoption of ecosystem-based management approaches.
- Promotion of climate-resilient aquaculture technologies.

B. Enhancing Competitiveness

- Improved certification and traceability systems for global markets.
- Digital tools for market access, logistics, and transparency.
- Inclusive policies ensuring benefits for small-scale fishers and women.

C. FAO's Continuing Commitment

- Guidance for a resilient and inclusive Blue Revolution.
- Focus on lowering environmental footprints while enhancing India's food security.

8. Conclusion

India's fisheries and aquaculture sector stands at a strategic juncture. With strong growth momentum, supportive policies, and international collaboration—especially with FAO—the country is poised to transition towards a sustainable, productive, and equitable Blue Economy. Prioritising environmental stewardship, modernisation, and inclusive

development will be key to unlocking its full potential.

HOW TO USE IT

India's fisheries and aquaculture sector represents a critical nexus of food security, livelihood generation, and economic growth, positioned at the heart of the Blue Economy initiative.

The strategic challenge is to balance rapid production growth with environmental sustainability and socio-economic equity for millions of small-scale fishers.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper III (Economy, Environment, Security)

- 1. Indian Economy (Agriculture, Employment, Infrastructure):
 - How to use: Analyze the sector as a vital component of the rural economy and agri-exports.
 - Key Points:
 - Economic
 Contribution: The sector
 supports over 2.8 crore
 livelihoods and is a major
 contributor to
 India's agricultural GVA
 and foreign exchange
 earnings (through
 exports).
 - Infrastructure
 Deficit: Challenges

 like poor cold chain
 infrastructure and post-harvest losses are classic

examples of supply-side constraints that hinder the sector's full potential, a common theme in Indian agriculture.

o Government
Initiatives: Schemes like
the Pradhan Mantri
Matsya Sampada Yojana
(PMMSY)and the Blue
Revolution are key
government interventions
aimed at modernizing
infrastructure, enhancing
productivity, and ensuring
the welfare of fishers.

2. Environment & Ecology:

- How to use: This is a core area, as the sector's growth is directly linked to environmental health.
- Key Points:
 - Challenges: Overfishing, habitat destruction (mangroves, estuaries), and water pollution are major threats to marine and aquatic ecosystems. This directly links to topics of biodiversity conservation and environmental degradation.
 - Climate Change: The impact of climate change-induced warming and extreme weather on fish stocks is

a critical concern, making the sector highly vulnerable.

Sustainable
 Practices: The emphasis
 on the Ecosystem
 Approach to Fisheries
 Management (EAFM),
 combating Illegal,
 Unreported, and
 Unregulated (IUU)
 fishing, and
 promoting climate-resilient aquaculture are essential for sustainable development.

3. Food Security:

- How to use: Position fisheries as a key pillar of nutritional security.
- Key Points:
 - The sector
 provides affordable
 animal protein and
 essential
 micronutrients to a large
 population, making it
 crucial in the fight against
 malnutrition.

4. Security:

- How to use: Link fisheries management to maritime security.
- Key Points:
 - Combating IUU fishing is not just an environmental issue but also a maritime security and sovereignty

issue, as it often involves foreign vessels in Indian waters.

 The Vessel transporters and safety measures for fishers are also linked to their security at sea.

Linkages to Other GS Papers

GS Paper II (Governance)

- Government Policies &
 Interventions: The role of
 institutions like MPEDA, NFDB,
 and the Coastal Aquaculture
 Authority demonstrates the
 governance structure for the
 sector.
- Social Justice & Welfare: The focus on gendered vulnerabilities and the inclusion of fishers in the Kisan Credit Card (KCC) scheme are examples of targeted welfare and financial inclusion for vulnerable groups.

GS Paper I (Society)

 The sector supports a vast population in coastal and riverine communities, shaping their social and economic life.

'Over 50% cases pending in Juvenile Justice Boards amid staff shortage'

Key Content:

- More than half (55%) of the cases before 362 Juvenile Justice Boards (JJBs) across India remained pending as of October 31, 2023.
- A study by the India Justice Report (IJR) revealed that JJBs had disposed of fewer than half of the 1,00,904 cases.
- Pendency rates vary widely, from 83% in Odisha to 35% in Karnataka.

Systemic issues contributing to the problem include:

- Staff vacancies (24% of JJBs were not fully constituted).
- Inadequate legal aid (30% of JJBs lack an attached legal services clinic).
- High workload (an average of 154 cases pending per JJB annually).
- Inadequate data monitoring and funds.
- Lack of interagency coordination and datasharing.
- The study also highlighted a weak culture of public data transfer and transparency, with many Right to Information (RTI) requests being rejected, unanswered, or transferred.
- Former Supreme Court Judge Madan B. Lokur expressed

concern over the gaps in the juvenile justice system, noting the detrimental effect on children.

Is Federalism in Retreat under Single-Party Hegemony?

1. Introduction

India's federal structure has historically evolved through political negotiations, constitutional design, and changing economic dynamics. The recent strain between several Opposition-ruled States and the Union government has reignited a critical debate: Is federalism being weakened due to the emergence of single-party dominance at the Centre?

This discussion reflects on how centralisation manifests across fiscal arrangements, governance structures, political bargaining, and institutional reforms.

2. Evolution of Federalism: From Coalition Era to Single-Party Rule

Rise of Federal Assertion (1990s-2014)

- Growth of regional parties reshaped national politics.
- Economic reforms and institutional restructuring took a federal turn, including:
 - Abolition of Planning Commission
 - Greater reliance on Finance Commission

- Enhanced Centre–State negotiation platforms
- Coalition governments strengthened federal bargaining.

Shift after 2014

- Emergence of strong single-party majority transformed Centre– State dynamics.
- New instruments of political and fiscal centralisation emerged.
- Regional parties weakened, reducing the intermediating role they historically played.

3. Fiscal Federalism Under Stress: GST and Beyond

GST as a Turning Point

- States surrendered key taxation powers.
- Dependence on GST
 compensation increased fiscal
 vulnerability.
- Finance Commission recommendations widened vertical fiscal imbalances, impairing States' development capacity.
- Smaller and poorer States face weakened bargaining power within GST Council deliberations.

Impact on Developmental Autonomy

Limited fiscal room undermines
 States' ability to design context-

- specific development programmes.
- Centralisation through GST disrupts the spirit of cooperative federalism envisioned during its introduction.

4. Redistribution, Regional Inequalities, and Fiscal Politics

- Southern States argue they disproportionately subsidise poorer northern States; however, redistribution remains constitutionally essential.
- Despite higher fiscal transfers, poorer States show weak job creation and industrial transformation.
- India's political economy creates a pattern of extractive redistribution—wealth generated in developed States funds central schemes without addressing structural deficits in lagging regions.

5. Centrally Sponsored Schemes and Erosion of State Autonomy

Centralised Schemes vs Local Priorities

- CSS dominate social and development spending, often overriding State priorities.
- Conditionalities, rigid costsharing formulas, and spending

ceilings limit innovation by States.

Institutional Gap After Planning Commission

- Abolition of Planning Commission created a vacuum in Centre–State coordination.
- NITI Aayog lacks the binding authority required for federal consensus-building.
- India does not have a strong, empowered inter-governmental forum like other federations.

6. Politics of Hegemony: Party System and Federal Bargaining

Political Conditions of Centralisation

- Coalition era allowed regional parties to negotiate strongly within the Union architecture.
- Under single-party dominance:
 - Central leadership consolidates political authority.
 - Vertical distribution networks (capital, welfare, DBTs) strengthen central control.
 - Regional parties see diminished organisational and financial capacity.

Electoral Strategies and Regional Parties

- Expansion of central party networks reduces the political space for regional outfits.
- Many regional parties now depend on alliances with the Centre, diluting federal contestation.

7. Emerging Stress Points: Census, Delimitation and ONOE

- Proposed delimitation could sharply increase parliamentary seats in high-population northern States.
- Risk of long-term political imbalance between highperforming and high-population States.
- "One Nation, One Election" may:
 - Synchronise electoral cycles
 - But also centralisepolitical power
 - Reduce diversity in political expression and diminish State autonomy.

These processes may collectively weaken the federal character of India's polity.

8. Key Takeaways for India's Federal Future

 Federalism is under stress, not due to State resistance, but due

- to increasing centralisation of political and fiscal power.
- India is transitioning from cooperative to centralised or coercive federalism.
- Need to strengthen intergovernmental mechanisms for dispute resolution, fiscal negotiation, and shared governance.
- Greater decentralisation and institutional innovation are essential to ensure balanced regional development.
- Reforms must move toward true cooperative federalism where both Union and States operate as equal stakeholders in India's growth.

HOW TO USE IT

The debate on Indian federalism is shifting from a focus on constitutional division of powers to an analysis of the political and fiscal practices that shape Centre-State relations. The era of single-party hegemony at the Centre has accentuated trends towards centralization, testing the resilience of India's federal architecture and raising critical questions about the balance between national unity and regional autonomy.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper II (Polity, Constitution, Governance)

- 1. Functions and responsibilities of the Union and the States, issues and challenges pertaining to the federal structure:
 - **How to use:** This is the central theme of the entire article. It provides a contemporary case study of federal tensions.
 - Key Points:
 - Coercive
 Federalism: The article
 argues that India is
 transitioning from a model
 of negotiation and
 cooperation (during the
 coalition era) to one of
 centralization and
 coercion. This is a key
 conceptual framework for
 understanding current
 disputes.

From Cooperative to

- Institutional
 Vacuum: The abolition of the Planning
 Commission and its replacement with NITI
 Aayog, which lacks the former's financial clout and state representation, has weakened a key platform for intergovernmental dialogue.
- GST Council as a Federal Body: The GST was a landmark moment of cooperative federalism, but its operation reveals

power imbalances. The article points out that smaller and poorer states have weakened bargaining power within the Council, and their fiscal vulnerability has increased.

2. Devolution of powers and finances up to local levels and challenges therein:

- How to use: Analyze the fiscal tools of centralization.
- Key Points:
 - Fiscal
 Centralization: The
 argument that Finance
 Commission recommend
 ations have widened
 vertical imbalances and
 the dominance
 of Centrally Sponsored
 Schemes (CSS)directly
 impacts the financial
 autonomy of states.
 States have less freedom
 to design and fund
 programs tailored to their
 specific needs.
 - Autonomy: Conditional
 CSS funding with rigid
 formulas overrides local
 priorities and limits statelevel innovation,
 effectively making states
 mere implementing

agencies of central schemes.

3. Salient features of the Representation of People's Act:

- **How to use:** Link federalism to electoral processes.
- Key Points:
 - **Political** Centralization: The discussion on "One Nation, One Election" (ONOE) and delimitation connects electoral politics to federal structure. ONOE could centralize political narratives and reduce the focus on state-specific issues, while a delimitation based solely on population could lead to a **long-term political imbalance**, reducing the representation of southern states that have better controlled population growth.

4. Separation of powers between various organs:

- How to use: The role of the judiciary as an umpire in federal disputes is implied.
- Key Points:
 - In the absence of strong political forums, the Supreme Court often becomes the arena for

resolving federal disputes (e.g., disputes over governors' powers, distribution of funds). This places a greater burden on the judiciary to protect the federal balance.

Linkages to GS Paper III (Economy)

- 1. Indian Economy and issues relating to planning, mobilization of resources, growth, development and employment:
 - How to use: Analyze the economic consequences of fiscal federalism.
 - Key Points:
 - Redistributive
 Politics: The tension
 between southern
 (contributor) states and
 northern (recipient) states
 highlights the challenge
 of redistributive
 federalism. The article
 critiques it as "extractive
 redistribution" if it doesn't
 address the structural
 deficits in lagging regions.
 - Impact on
 Development: Limited fiscal room for states impairs their capacity for context-specific development, potentially hampering overall

economic growth and job creation.

"Caste identity influences how teachers judge students in Bihar public schools: IIM-B study"

Key Finding

A new study by the Indian Institute of Management Bangalore (IIM-B) finds that teachers in Bihar's public schools misjudge students' abilities based on caste, even when students from different caste groups perform equally well on standardized tests.

- -Misjudgment Strongly Tied to Caste
 - Teachers, especially from forward castes, systematically underrate backward-caste students.
 - This bias persists even when backward-caste students' actual scores match forwardcaste students' scores.
- -Systemic Nature of Bias
 - Teachers' underestimation of lower-caste students becomes a systemic issue when caste markers are visible.
 - Teachers expect backward-caste children to perform poorly despite evidence to the contrary.

Consequences

A. Impact on Learning

- Misjudgments can have longterm negative effects:
 - Poorer teacher-student interaction
 - Lower academic confidence
 - Underperformance due to stereotypes

B. Reinforcement of Social Inequality

- Bias leads to:
 - Achievement gaps
 - Differentiated teacher expectations
 - A classroom version of the "Pygmalion Effect"
 - Higher teacher expectations → better performance
 - Lower expectations → poorer performance

How to use it

The IIM-B study exposes how deepseated social prejudices (caste)
permeate formal institutions
(schools), thereby reinforcing and
perpetuating social
inequality instead of acting as a tool

for social mobility. It highlights that inequality is not just a matter of economic access but is also reproduced through **implicit biases** and systemic discrimination within the education system itself.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper I (Society) & GS Paper II (Social Justice, Governance)

- 1. GS Paper I: Salient features of Indian Society, Diversity of India.
- How to use: This is the core sociological dimension. The study provides empirical evidence for how caste, a traditional social hierarchy, continues to operate in modern settings.
- Key Points:
 - Persistence of
 Caste: The study proves
 that caste is not just a
 relic of the past but
 an active determinant of
 social interaction in
 contemporary India, even
 in a progressive space like
 a classroom.
 - Social Reproduction: The education system, often seen as a great equalizer, is instead functioning as an instrument of social reproduction. The biases of teachers ensure that children from

marginalized communities internalize their "place" in the social order, thus reproducing caste hierarchies across generations.

- 2. GS Paper II: Welfare Schemes for Vulnerable Sections & Issues Relating to Development and Management of Social Sector/Services.
- How to use: Analyze the failure of the education system as a social service to deliver equitable outcomes.
- Key Points:
 - Failure of Inclusive
 Education: Government
 policies like the Right to
 Education (RTE) Actfocus
 on physical access and
 infrastructure. This study
 reveals a critical
 gap: access does not
 guarantee equitable and
 discrimination-free
 learning experiences.
 The "quality" of education
 is deeply compromised by
 teacher bias.
 - Governance and
 Implementation
 Gap: The problem lies in the implementation and the human element of governance. It points to a critical need for teacher training and

sensitization as part of educational governance, which is currently a major deficit.

- 3. GS Paper II: Mechanisms, Laws, Institutions and Bodies for the Protection of Vulnerable Sections.
- How to use: Highlight the limitations of legal and constitutional safeguards in tackling subtle, everyday discrimination.
- Key Points:
 - While the Constitution provides for abolition of untouchability (Article 17) and promotes social equality, this study shows how bias operates in a subconscious, nonlegislatable manner. The challenge is beyond what laws alone can address; it requires a change in mindset.

Linkages to Other GS Papers GS Paper IV (Ethics, Integrity & Aptitude)

 Impartiality and Nonpartisanship: The study is a classic case of a lack of impartiality among public servants (teachers in government schools). Their judgment is clouded by primordial loyalties and prejudices.

Emotional

Intelligence: Teachers lacking empathy and social awareness fail to create an inclusive environment, directly impacting the emotional well-being and self-esteem of children from backward castes.

 Attitude: The study reveals how ingrained attitudes about caste directly influence behavior (treatment of students), with significant consequences.



"YOUR SUCCESS, OUR COMMITMENT"